

REMARKS

Claims 10-18 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claims 10-18 have replaced with new claims 19-27. Applicant believes that the new claims 19-27 are not open to rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Claims 10-18 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

To the extent that the examiner suggests that it is necessary to disclose a dimension, area and/or placement of the location of the piston at which movement is stopped by the movement restrictor, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection. For a given spring constant of the resilient element and area of the first end of the piston, it is a simple matter to calculate displacement of the piston as a function of pressure in the fuel volume and thereby determine the displacement that should be permitted by the movement restrictor in order to detect when the fuel pressure exceeds a desired maximum level.

Respectfully submitted,



John Smith-Hill
Reg. No. 27,730

SMITH-HILL & BEDELL, P.C.
16100 N.W. Cornell Road, Suite 220
Beaverton, Oregon 97006

Tel. (503) 574-3100
Fax (503) 574-3197
Docket: AWEK 3460